

11-19-2007 16:54



Don't All Muslims Carry Guns?

By Tom Plate

BALI, Indonesia — In the worldwide marketplace of ideas, everything has a measure of value, even a bad idea.

A bad idea can sometimes even illuminate the darkest landscape of truth with brilliant flair — and in a way that mere fact cannot.

Consider, for example, the idea that Islam is incompatible with democracy. It's a really bad idea — but it can serve a very good purpose.

For starters, the proposition of Islamic incompatibility with democracy can motivate the most moderate and courteous Muslim to abandon his cool demeanor and mount a defense of his religion and culture with winning passion and charming conviction.

That's exactly what happened here when the incomparable and charismatic Anwar Ibrahim took the floor at "The Asian Century Begins," a three-day conference organized by the International Association of Political Consultants (IAPC) last week.

Anwar — a devout and learned Muslim — served as Malaysia's deputy prime minister from 1993-98, and then served a few years in the slammer as a Malaysian political prisoner.

Pardoned after a change in national administration, this reformer, who now bodes to re-enter elective politics in his country, is virtually evangelical about the need for — and achievability of — harmonious East-West relations.

And his country, just like Indonesia, while largely Muslim, sports a government structure that is entirely secular. What was so striking and fascinating about this conference is the number of attending Muslim VIPs who were so adamant about and proud of their secular governments.

"The Islam-incompatibility question could very well be whether Christianity and democracy are really compatible," almost thundered Anwar, a thoughtful type who rarely thunders. "Or why not ask whether Judaism and democracy are compatible! Why do we only look for Islamicists as scapegoats?"

The way Anwar and many other Muslims see things, people who assume that Islam inevitably degrades into extremism are bigots and/or ignoramuses. They point to Turkey and to Indonesia as prime examples of monster Muslim societies that are seriously secular polities.

They point out that Islamicists in Southeast Asia have never had a quarrel with secularism. "And there is absolutely no serious debate in Indonesia over secular democracy," insists Anwar.

The Malaysian political superstar then surprised everyone by pointing to an otherwise discredited source as major inspiration for Indonesia's contemporary insistence on non-religious government.

"Give Suharto credit for making Muslims accept the need for a secular state. Even the young leaders of Indonesia believe in the value of the secular state."

Former President Suharto, now in increasingly failing health and entirely out of power, ruled sprawling Indonesia, once a Dutch duchy, for 30-plus years, until 1998, with both an iron will and an apparently bottomless lust for wealth. The well-known

Transparency International once rated him number-one among all the world's dictators for corruption.

But among businessmen, Suharto is regarded as the father of economic modernization, bringing new wealth to this former tropic of economic disaster.

And among the country's countless moderate Muslims, Suharto is appreciated for keeping the country's crazies under wraps while letting sensible entrepreneurs do their thing and develop the economy. "Suharto gave his country 30-plus years of non-debate about the importance of secularism," explains Anwar.

But what about those Muslims — however small a minority, whether in Indonesia or elsewhere — who preach the poison of exclusion, turn themselves into human bombs, or fly civilian aircraft into very tall and prominent buildings?

"Some Muslims need to listen better," he says. "The true road for political Islam goes through [a place like] Jakarta. The threat to democracy is not from Islam ... but we must avoid narrow interpretations of Sharia [Islamic law]."

Anwar also prays that secularism advocates won't make the mistake of packaging the religiously-neutral governance philosophy as an anti-religious movement: "A secular state can be religion-friendly and acceptable to Muslim populations. Building a pious society is necessary — even as the state remains religiously neutral."

Today Indonesia — the most populous Muslim state and in fact the fourth most populous country in the world — looks, more and more, to be a pivotal, unfolding story that may well serve to illuminate the entire direction of this century.

For if Anwar and his merry band of moderate Muslims are right, then the relationship between East and West could prove less a collision course than a trans-civilizational march of progress.

Alas, not enough Westerners appear to comprehend that the Islamic world is not Bin Laden's for the taking, unless the majority moderates lose their grip and people like Anwar are kept from power — or unless the West so continually and stubbornly paints Islam in fiery stereotypes that the propaganda paint job turns into a self-fulfilling prophecy and we wind up convincing them that they all need to pack a gun.

Prof. Tom Plate, a member of the Burke Center on International Relations, is a syndicated columnist whose journalism appears in world newspapers from Tokyo to Seattle. He recently visited Bali as a participant and observer in the 40th World Annual Conference of the International Association of Political Consultants. He can be reached at platecolumn@hotmail.com.